



HEALTH  
PHYSICS  
SOCIETY

## RADIATION RISK IN PERSPECTIVE

### POSITION STATEMENT OF THE HEALTH PHYSICS SOCIETY\*

Adopted: January 1996

Revised: August 2004

Contact: Richard J. Burk, Jr.  
Executive Secretary  
Health Physics Society  
Telephone: 703-790-1745  
Fax: 703-790-2672  
Email: [HPS@BurkInc.com](mailto:HPS@BurkInc.com)  
<http://www.hps.org>

*In accordance with current knowledge of radiation health risks, the Health Physics Society recommends against quantitative estimation of health risks below an individual dose of 5 rem<sup>1</sup> in one year or a lifetime dose of 10 rem above that received from natural sources. Doses from natural background radiation in the United States average about 0.3 rem per year. A dose of 5 rem will be accumulated in the first 17 years of life and about 25 rem in a lifetime of 80 years. Estimation of health risk associated with radiation doses that are of similar magnitude as those received from natural sources should be strictly qualitative and encompass a range of hypothetical health outcomes, including the possibility of no adverse health effects at such low levels.*

*There is substantial and convincing scientific evidence for health risks following high-dose exposures. However, below 5–10 rem (which includes occupational and environmental exposures), risks of health effects are either too small to be observed or are nonexistent.*

In part because of the insurmountable intrinsic and methodological difficulties in determining if the health effects that are demonstrated at high radiation doses are also present at low doses, current radiation protection standards and practices are based on the premise that any radiation dose, no matter how small, may result in detrimental health effects, such as cancer and hereditary genetic damage. Further, it is assumed that these effects are produced in direct proportion to the dose received, that is, doubling the radiation dose results in a doubling of the effect. These two assumptions lead to a dose-response relationship, often referred to as the linear, no-threshold model, for estimating health effects at radiation dose levels of interest. There is, however, substantial scientific evidence that this model is an oversimplification. It can be rejected for a number of specific cancers, such as bone cancer and chronic lymphocytic leukemia, and heritable genetic damage has not been observed in human studies. However, the effect of biological mechanisms such as DNA repair, bystander effect, and adaptive response on the induction of cancers and genetic mutations are not well understood and are not accounted for by the linear, no-threshold model.

## **Radiogenic Health Effects Have Not Been Consistently Demonstrated Below 10 Rem**

Radiogenic health effects (primarily cancer) have been demonstrated in humans through epidemiological studies only at doses exceeding 5–10 rem delivered at high dose rates. Below this dose, estimation of adverse health effect remains speculative. Risk estimates that are used to predict health effects in exposed individuals or populations are based on epidemiological studies of well-defined populations (for example, the Japanese survivors of the atomic bombings in 1945 and medical patients) exposed to relatively high doses delivered at high dose rates. Epidemiological studies have not demonstrated adverse health effects in individuals exposed to small doses (less than 10 rem) delivered in a period of many years.

## **Limit Quantitative Risk Assessment to Doses at or Above 5 Rem per Year or 10 Rem Lifetime**

In view of the above, the Society has concluded that estimates of risk should be limited to individuals receiving a dose of 5 rem in one year or a lifetime dose of 10 rem in addition to natural background. In making risk estimates, specific organ doses and age-adjusted and gender-adjusted organ risk factors should be used. Below these doses, risk estimates should not be used. Expressions of risk should only be qualitative, that is, a range based on the uncertainties in estimating risk (NCRP 1997) emphasizing the inability to detect any increased health detriment (that is, zero health effects is a probable outcome).

## **Impact on Radiation Protection**

Limiting the use of quantitative risk assessment, as described above, has the following implications for radiation protection:

- (a) The possibility that health effects might occur at small doses should not be entirely discounted. The Health Physics Society also recognizes the practical advantages of the linear, no-threshold hypothesis to the practice of radiation protection. Nonetheless, risk assessment at low doses should focus on establishing a range of health outcomes in the dose range of interest and acknowledge the possibility of zero health effects. These assessments can be used to inform decision making with respect to cleanup of sites contaminated with radioactive material, disposition of slightly radioactive material, transport of radioactive material, etc.
- (b) Collective dose (the sum of individual doses in a defined exposed population expressed as person-rem) has been a useful index for quantifying dose in large populations and in comparing the magnitude of exposures from different radiation sources. However, collective dose may aggregate information excessively, for example, a large dose to a small number of people is not equivalent to a small dose to many people, even if the collective doses are the same. Thus, for populations in which almost all individuals are estimated to receive a lifetime dose of less than 10 rem above background, collective dose is a highly speculative and uncertain measure of risk and should not be used for the purpose of estimating population health risks.

**Footnotes**

<sup>1</sup>The rem is the unit of effective dose. In international units, 1 rem=0.01 sievert (Sv)=10 mSv.

**References**

National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Uncertainties in fatal cancer risk estimates used in radiation protection. Bethesda, MD: NCRP; NCRP Report No. 126; 1997.

---

\* The Health Physics Society is a nonprofit scientific professional organization whose mission is excellence in the science and practice of radiation safety. Since its formation in 1956, the Society has grown to approximately 6,000 scientists, physicians, engineers, lawyers, and other professionals representing academia, industry, government, national laboratories, the Department of Defense, and other organizations. Society activities include encouraging research in radiation science, developing standards, and disseminating radiation safety information. Society members are involved in understanding, evaluating, and controlling the potential risks from radiation relative to the benefits. Official position statements are prepared and adopted in accordance with standard policies and procedures of the Society. The Society may be contacted at 1313 Dolley Madison Blvd., Suite 402, McLean, VA 22101; phone: 703-790-1745; fax: 703-790-2672; email: HPS@BurkInc.com.